REMARKS

Applicant has reviewed the Office Action dated 11/25/2009, which

includes a Section 112 objection together with a Section 101 double-patenting

rejection. Applicant has amended claim 17 to clarify that the signal being

smoothed by the filter is the signal received at the input to the filter. The

undersigned apologizes for the lack of clarity perceived by the Examiner; it was

believed clear before, but, in re-reading, the examiner's confusion is understood.

This clarification is believed to resolve the objection to the drawings. In addition,

claim 17 has been amended to recite the comparator and resolve the antecedent

basis issue.

Applicant herewith provides a terminal disclaimer to overcome the double-

patenting rejection.

It is believed that the present claims are in condition for allowance, and

early notification to that effect is earnestly solicited. In the event that any issue $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots ,n\right\}$

remains, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at 650-269-5025.

Respectfully submitted,

James E. Eakin Reg. No. 27.874

855 Oak Grove, Suite 107

Menlo Park, CA 94025

650-326-4350 or 650-269-5025 (cell)

5